

The first Erdős cardinal $\kappa(\omega)$ and tree constructions

Definition

The first ω -Erdős cardinal $\kappa(\omega)$ is the smallest uncountable cardinal κ such that for every function f from the finite subsets of κ to 2 there exist an infinite subset $X \subseteq \kappa$ and a function $g : \omega \rightarrow 2$ such that $f(Y) = g(|Y|)$ holds for all finite subsets Y of X .

$\kappa(\omega)$ is strongly inaccessible, see

T. Jech [*Set Theory*, Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin 2002].

- If $\lambda < k(\omega)$ is a cardinal, then consider the tree $T_\lambda = {}^\omega \lambda$
$$= \{\eta : n \rightarrow \lambda \mid n < \omega\}$$
 with bottom $\perp = \langle \emptyset \rangle$.
- $\eta = \eta(0)^\wedge \eta(1)^\wedge \dots^\wedge \eta(n-1)$ has length $\text{lg}(\eta) = \text{Dom } \eta = n$.
- Put $\eta^- = \eta(1)^\wedge \dots^\wedge \eta(n-1)$.
- The ordering: If $\eta, \nu \in T$, then $\nu \subseteq \eta \iff \eta \upharpoonright \text{Dom } \nu = \nu$.
- If $\eta \in {}^\omega \lambda$, then $[\eta] = \{\eta \upharpoonright n \mid n < \text{lg}(\eta)\} \subseteq T_\lambda$ denotes the support of η .
- **Old wine in new skins:** A subtree $T \subseteq T_\lambda$ is **noetherian** if any ascending chain of branches terminates after finitely many steps.
- Thus T is noetherian $\iff [\eta] \not\subseteq T \forall \eta \in {}^\omega \lambda$.
- T is a **(2-)coloured tree**, if $c : T \rightarrow 2 = \{0, 1\}$ is the colouring map. Write $\mathcal{T} = (T, c)$.

Definition

- If T, T' are trees, then $\eta : T \rightarrow T'$ is a homomorphism, if η preserves levels and initial segments.
- If $\mathcal{T} = (T, c), \mathcal{T}' = (T', c')$ are coloured tree, then a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ is colour preserving if $c'(\eta\varphi) = c(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in T$.

The Main Theorem Part 2

Theorem

[Shelah 1982] *If $2 \leq \kappa < \kappa(\omega) \leq \lambda$ are cardinals, $T_\lambda = {}^\omega \lambda$ and \mathcal{T}_α ($\alpha < \lambda$) is a family of subtrees of T_λ with a κ -colouring $c_\alpha : \mathcal{T}_\alpha \rightarrow \kappa$, then there are a generic extension of the universe and distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \lambda$ such that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\beta) \neq \emptyset$.*

Conclusion: We want to assume that $\lambda < \kappa(\omega)$

The Main Theorem Part 1

Theorem

[Shelah 1982] If $\lambda < \kappa(\omega)$ is an infinite cardinal and $T_\lambda = \omega^{>\lambda}$, there is a family \mathcal{T}_α ($\alpha \in 2^\lambda$) of 2-coloured subtrees of T_λ (of size λ) such that in *any generic extension of the universe* the following holds for $\alpha, \beta \in 2^\lambda$.

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathcal{T}_\beta) \neq \emptyset \implies \alpha = \beta.$$

Remark

- Such a family of coloured trees $(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, c_\alpha)$ ($\alpha \in 2^\lambda$) is called an *absolutely rigid* family of trees of size λ .
- Cosmetics: In [Shelah 1982] 2 is ω and 2^λ is λ .
- [Shelah 1982] is a long important paper: *Better quasi-orders for uncountable cardinals*, Israel J. Math. **42** (1982), 177 – 226.

Conclusion: We want a shorter proof of Part 1. [Herden 2011],
[Göbel, Pokutta 2011]

Old partition principles

Essentially Silver and Rowbottom, see Drake *Set Theory*, North-Holland (1974), with some variation from Herden:

Definition

- $\omega^{>\kappa\neq} = \{\nu : \omega \rightarrow \kappa \mid \nu(i) \neq \nu(i+1) \text{ for all } i+1 < \omega, n < \omega\}$
- $\omega_{\kappa\neq} = \{\nu : \omega \rightarrow \kappa \mid \nu(i) \neq \nu(i+1) \text{ for all } i+1 < \omega\}$
- $\kappa \xrightarrow{vw} (\omega)_{\lambda}^{<\omega} \iff \text{for every function } F : \omega^{>\kappa\neq} \rightarrow \lambda \text{ there exists some } \eta \in \omega_{\kappa\neq} \text{ with } F(\eta \upharpoonright i) = F(\eta^- \upharpoonright i) \text{ for all } i < \omega.$

Theorem (Silver, Fund. Math **69** (1970), 93-100.)

$$\lambda < \kappa(\omega) \implies \lambda \not\xrightarrow{vw} (\omega)_2^{<\omega}.$$

Definition

Let $T \subseteq T_\lambda$ be a tree and $\nu \in T$. All immediate successors of ν are

$$S(\nu) = \{\rho \in T \mid \nu \subseteq \rho, \text{lg}(\rho) = \text{lg}(\nu) + 1\}.$$

$T[\nu] = \{\rho \in T \mid \nu \subseteq \rho, \text{ or } \rho \subseteq \nu\}$ is a subtree of T .

A **depth function** on T is a mapping $\delta : T \rightarrow |T|^+$ such that the following holds.

- If $\nu \in T$ is maximal in T (i.e. $S(\nu) = \emptyset$), then $\delta(\nu) = 0$
- If $\nu \in T$ is not maximal, then $\delta(\nu) = \sup\{\delta(\rho) + 1 \mid \rho \in S(\nu)\}$.

Proposition

A subtree $T \subseteq T_\lambda$ is noetherian if and only if there exists a depth function $\delta : T \rightarrow |T|^+$.

Lemma

Let $T_1, T_2 \subseteq T_\lambda$ with be the depth functions δ_i , if they exists. Then there is a homomorphism

$$f : T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \iff T_2 \text{ is not noetherian or}$$

$$T_1, T_2 \text{ are both noetherian with } \delta_1(\perp) \leq \delta_2(\perp).$$

Let $\mathbf{M} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ be extensions of transitive models of ZFC.

Corollary

The class of noetherian subtrees of T_λ is absolute, which means that a tree T is noetherian in a model \mathbf{M} if and only if T belongs to \mathbf{M} and is noetherian in \mathbf{N} .

Conclusion: Now we can prove [Shelah 1982 Part 1] quickly.