

PICARD-VESSIOT THEORY AND JACOBIAN PROBLEM

Teresa Crespo and Zbigniew Hajto

No. 411
February 2009

Mathematics Preprint Series



UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA



Picard-Vessiot Theory and Jacobian Problem

Teresa Crespo and Zbigniew Hajto

Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria
Universitat de Barcelona
Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585
08007 Barcelona
Spain
e-mail: teresa.crespo@ub.edu

Theoretical Computer Science Department
Jagiellonian University
ul. Łojasiewicza 6
30-348 Kraków
Poland
e-mail: hajto@tcs.uj.edu.pl

In this paper we establish the equivalence of the two existing definitions of Picard-Vessiot extension of partial differential fields and we give an equivalent statement of the Jacobian conjecture in terms of Picard-Vessiot extensions.

We denote as usual by $K\langle S \rangle$ the differential field differentially generated by the set S and by C_K the field of constants of a differential field K .

Kolchin gave in [K] a definition of Picard-Vessiot extension for partial differential fields which is a generalization of the definition of Picard-Vessiot extension associated to a linear differential operator defined over an ordinary differential field. To a partial differential field extension $L|K$, with derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$, he associates an ordinary differential field extension $L_D|K_D$, where $K_D := K\langle u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle$, $L_D := L\langle u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle$, with u_1, \dots, u_m independent differential indeterminates, and the derivation is $D := u_1\partial_1 + \dots + u_m\partial_m$. Kolchin defines $L|K$ to be a Picard-Vessiot extension when it satisfies condition **1.** in Theorem 1 below and $C_L = C_K$. Following Kolchin, for elements η_1, \dots, η_n in a differential field L and $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ differential operators defined on L , we denote by $W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$ the wronskian determinant $\det((\theta_j(\eta_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n})$. Kolchin proves that $L|K$ is Picard-Vessiot if and only if $L_D|K_D$ is Picard-Vessiot and proves that the differential Galois groups $G_{diff}(L|K)$ and $G_{diff}(L_D|K_D)$ are isomorphic. In [P-S] Picard-Vessiot theory for partial differential fields is sketched in terms of differential systems. A Picard-Vessiot extension $L|K$ is there defined by condition **3.** in Theorem 1 below and $C_L = C_K$. The theory in all detail has been worked out by Heiderich in [H]. The next theorem establishes the equivalence of the two definitions.

Theorem 1 *Let $L|K$ be a partial differential field extension, with derivations $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$, such that $C_L = C_K$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. $L = K\langle \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \rangle$, where η_1, \dots, η_n are linearly independent over constants and

¹Both authors acknowledge support by European Network MRTN-CT-2006-035495, by grant MTM2006-04895, Spanish Ministry of Education and by grant N20103831/3261, Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

$$\frac{W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)}{W_{\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0n}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)} \in K$$

for all n -tuples $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ of order $\leq n$ of the semi-group of differential operators generated by $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m$ and some fixed $\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0n}$ such that $W_{\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0n}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \neq 0$.

2. (i) $L = K\langle E \rangle$, where $E \subset L$ is a finite dimensional C_K -vector space.
(ii) there exists a group G of differential automorphisms of L such that E is G -stable and $L^G = K$.
3. $L = K\langle \{y_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \rangle$, where $y = (y_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ is a fundamental matrix of a differential system $\partial_k Y = A_k Y$, with $A_k \in M_{r \times r}(K)$, $1 \leq k \leq m$, i.e. y is invertible and satisfies $\partial_k y = A_k y$, for $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Proof.

1. \Rightarrow 2.

Let E be the C_K -vector space generated by η_1, \dots, η_n . Then condition **2.(i)** is clearly fulfilled. Let G be the full group $G_{diff}(L|K)$ of differential K -automorphisms of L . We have $L^G = K$ ([K] Theorem 2). It remains to prove that for all $\sigma \in G$, we have $\sigma \eta_j = \sum c_{ij} \eta_i$ for some $c_{ij} \in C_K$. This comes from the fact that $L_D|K_D$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension of ordinary differential fields, $L_D = K_D\langle \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \rangle$ and $G_{diff}(L_D|K_D) \simeq G_{diff}(L|K)$ (see [K]).

2. \Rightarrow 3.

Let y_1, \dots, y_r be a C_K -basis of E . As y_1, \dots, y_r are linearly independent over constants, there exist differential operators $\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0r}$ such that $W_{\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0r}}(y_1, \dots, y_r) \neq 0$. Let y be the matrix $(\theta_{0j}(y_i))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$. Then y is invertible and L is differentially generated over K by the entries of y . Let us see that $\partial_l(y)y^{-1}$ is a matrix with entries in K , for $1 \leq l \leq m$. As E is G -invariant, we have, for all $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ij} y_i$, for some c_{ij} in C_K . Then $\sigma(\theta_{0k}(y_j)) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_{ij} \theta_{0k}(y_i)$, for $1 \leq j, k \leq r$. We obtain then $\sigma(y) = yC$, where $C = (c_{ij})$ is a matrix with entries in C_K . Then, as σ is a differential automorphism, we have $\sigma((\partial_l y)y^{-1}) = \sigma(\partial_l y)(\sigma(y)^{-1}) = \partial_l(\sigma(y))\sigma(y)^{-1} = \partial_l(yC)(yC)^{-1} = \partial_l(y)y^{-1}$. As this yields for all σ in G , we obtain $\partial_l(y)y^{-1} \in M_{r \times r}(K)$, $1 \leq l \leq m$.

3. \Rightarrow 2.

Let E be the C_K -vector space generated by the entries y_{ij} of the fundamental matrix. Then $L = K\langle E \rangle$. We take $G = G_{diff}(L|K)$. We have $L^G = K$ by the Fundamental Theorem of Picard-Vessiot theory. We prove that $G(E) \subset E$. For $\sigma \in G$, we have $\partial_l(\sigma y) = \sigma(\partial_l y) = \sigma(A_l y) = A_l \sigma(y)$. So, σy is a fundamental matrix of the differential system $\partial_k Y = A_k Y$, hence $\sigma y = yC$, with $C \in M_{r \times r}(C_K)$. So, $\sigma y_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{kj} y_{ik} \in E$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$.

2. \Rightarrow 1.

Let η_1, \dots, η_n be a basis of the C_K -vector space E . Then $L = K\langle \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \rangle$. As the elements η_1, \dots, η_n are linearly independent over constants, we have $W_{\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0n}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \neq 0$, for some $\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0n}$. Now, if $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(\eta_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij} \eta_i$, as E is G -invariant. Then

$$W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(\sigma\eta_1, \dots, \sigma\eta_n) = \det(C)W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n),$$

with $C = (c_{ij})$, for all $\sigma \in G$ and every n -tuple $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$. Hence the quotient $\frac{W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)}{W_{\theta_{01}, \dots, \theta_{0n}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)}$ is fixed by the action of G and is then in K . \square

Remark 1 In the ordinary case, if condition **1.** in Theorem 1 is fulfilled, we have $\det((\eta_i^{(j)})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 0 \leq j \leq n-1}) \neq 0$ and $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$ is a fundamental set of solutions of the linear differential equation of order n with coefficients in K

$$\begin{vmatrix} Y & \eta_1 & \dots & \eta_n \\ Y' & \eta'_1 & \dots & \eta'_n \\ & & \vdots & \\ Y^{(n)} & \eta_1^{(n)} & \dots & \eta_n^{(n)} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Remark 2 In the ordinary case, the equivalence between **1.** and **2.** is given by Magid in [M].

Remark 3 In the ordinary case, we can associate to a differential system given by an $n \times n$ matrix an equivalent system corresponding to a linear differential equation of order n , by means of cyclic vectors.

We give now an equivalent statement of the Jacobian conjecture in terms of Picard-Vessiot extensions. Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic 0 and $F := (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ be a polynomial function defined on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. We consider the Jacobian matrix

$$J := \frac{\partial(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)}{\partial(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}$$

and assume that the Jacobian determinant $|J|$ is a non zero constant. The field $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is then a differential field with the Nambu derivations defined by

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \delta_n \end{pmatrix} = (J^{-1})^t \begin{pmatrix} \partial/\partial x_1 \\ \partial/\partial x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \partial/\partial x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

(see [N]). It is easy to check that the field $\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ is stable under $\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n\}$.

Theorem 2 *We assume that \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed. Let $F := (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ be a polynomial function defined on $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ such that $|J| \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *The function F is invertible and its inverse is a polynomial function.*
2. *The differential field $(\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n\})$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension of \mathbb{K} .*
3. *The finite field extension $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ is a Galois extension.*
4. *The elements x_1, \dots, x_n satisfy $W_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ and*

$$\frac{W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{W_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \in \mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$$

for all n -tuples $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ of order $\leq n+1$ of the semi-group of differential operators generated by $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$.

Proof.

1. \Rightarrow 2. If F is invertible, we have $\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) = \mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. By (1), we have $\delta_i(F_j) = \delta_{ij}$, so the conditions in Theorem 1 **1.** are satisfied if we take $1, F_1, \dots, F_n$ as the extension generators and $1, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ as the operators θ_{0j} .

2. \Rightarrow 3. As $(\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n\})$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension of \mathbb{K} and $\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ is an intermediate differential field, we have that $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension of $\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$. As the constant field is the algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} , the extension $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ is a Galois extension.

3. \Rightarrow 1. This is a Theorem of Campbell (see [C] or [E]).

1. \Rightarrow 4. By (1), we have $(\delta_j(x_i)) = J^{-1}$, so $W_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det(\delta_j(x_i)) = |J|^{-1} \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Now $W_{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)/W_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is clearly in $\mathbb{K}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, so in $\mathbb{K}(F_1, \dots, F_n)$.

4. \Rightarrow 3. Condition **1.** in Theorem 1 is satisfied by the elements $1, x_1, \dots, x_n$ and the derivations $1, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$, so the extension $\mathbb{K}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)|\mathbb{K}(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n)$ is a Picard-Vessiot extension, hence a Galois extension.

Bibliography.

[C] L. A. Campbell, A condition for a polynomial map to be invertible, Math. Ann. 205 (1973), 243-248.

[E] A. van den Essen, Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture, Progress in Mathematics 190, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2000.

[H] F. Heiderich, Picard-Vessiot Theorie für lineare partielle Differentialgleichungen, Diplomarbeit, Heidelberg University, 2007.

[K] E. R. Kolchin, Picard-Vessiot theory of partial differential fields, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 596-603.

- [M] A. Magid, Lectures on Differential Galois Theory, University Lecture Series 7, A.M.S., Providence RI, 1997.
- [N] Y. Nambu, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, Phys. Rev. D (3) 7 (1973), 2405–2412.
- [P-S] M. van der Put, M. Singer, Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften , Vol. 328 , Springer 2003.

Relació dels últims Preprints publicats:

- **393** *Dynamic complex hedging in additive markets.* José M. Corcuera and João M.E. Guerra. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 60G46, 60H30, 91B28. July 2007.
- **394** *Coverings for function fields over \mathbb{F}_3 .* Teresa Crespo and Zbigniew Hajto. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 12F12. Septembre 2007.
- **395** *Optimal investment in non-homogeneous Lévy markets.* José M. Corcuera and João M.E. Guerra. AMS Subject Classification: 91B28, 60G51. October 2007.
- **396** *Power variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments.* Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen, José M. Corcuera and Mark Podolskij. AMS Subject Classification: 60F05, 60G15, 60G18, 62M99. November 2007.
- **397** *Convergence of certain functionals of integral fractional processes.* José M. Corcuera, David Nualart and Jeannette H.C. Woerner. AMS Subject Classification: 60F05, 60G15, 60G18, 62M99. December 2007.
- **398** *A model of continuous time polymer on the lattice.* David Márquez-Carreras, Carles Rovira and Samy Tindel. AMS Subject Classification: 82D60, 60K37, 60G15. February 2008.
- **399** *Logics preserving degrees of truth from varieties of residuated lattices.* F. Bou, F. Esteva, J.M. Font, A. Gil, L. Godo, A. Torrens, and V. Verdú. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 03B47, 03B50, 03B22, 03G25, 06B99. April 2008.
- **400** *The flow cytometric scatters of bacterial axenic cultures fit the skew-Laplace distribution pattern: biological consequences.* Josep Vives-Rego, Olga Julià, Jaume Vidal-Mas, and Nicolai S. Panikov. AMS Subject Classification: 62E15, 62F03, 62F10. April 2008.
- **401** *Bipower variation for Gaussian processes with stationary increments.* Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen, José Manuel Corcuera, Mark Podolskij and Jeannette H.C. Woerner. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 60G15, 60F17. May 2008.
- **402** *Insider trading and weak equilibrium.* A. Kohatsu-Higa and S. Ortiz-Latorre. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 49J40, 60G48, 93E20. May 2008.
- **403** *Groups definable in linear o-minimal structures.* Pantelis E. Eleftheriou. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 03C64, 46A40. June 2008.
- **404** *Definable group extensions in semi-bounded o-minimal structures.* Mário J. Edmundo and Pantelis E. Eleftheriou. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 03C64, 20K35. June 2008.
- **405** *A semi-linear group which is not affine.* Pantelis E. Eleftheriou. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 03C64, 57Q35. June 2008.
- **406** *Notions of bisimulation for Heyting-valued modal languages.* Pantelis E. Eleftheriou, Costas D. Koutras, and Christos Nomikos. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 03B45, 03B50, 68Q85. June 2008.
- **407** *Brown representability does not come for free.* Carles Casacuberta and Amnon Neeman. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 18E30, 55U35. July 2008.
- **408** *Localization of algebras over coloured operads.* Carles Casacuberta, Javier J. Gutiérrez, Ieke Moerdijk, and Rainer M. Vogt. AMS Subject Classification (2000): Primary: 55P43; Secondary: 18D50, 55P60. July 2008.
- **409** *Quadratic fields and public key cryptography.* Teresa Crespo and Elżbieta Sowa. AMS Subject Classification (2000): 11R11, 11R27, 11R29, 94A60. October 2008.
- **410** *Statistical inference and Malliavin calculus.* José M. Corcuera and Arturo Kohatsu-Higa. AMS Subject Classification (2000): Primary 62Mxx, 60Hxx; Secondary 60Fxx, 62Fxx. November 2008.